

## EFFECTIVE ECCLESIASTICAL LEADERSHIP STRATEGIES FOR CHURCH GROWTH AND ADMINISTRATION IN THE 21ST CENTURY

### Authors & Affiliations

**Rev. Monday Fabian Kofi**

Department of Religious and  
Cultural Studies  
University of Calabar  
+2348039185892

**Emeka C. Ekeke (Ph.D)**

Department of Religious and  
Cultural Studies  
Faculty of Arts  
University of Calabar, Calabar.  
Email: [emekacekeke@unical.edu.ng](mailto:emekacekeke@unical.edu.ng)  
emekacekeke@gmail.com  
Mobile: +2348037930856  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8995-5676>

### ABSTRACT

The 21st-century church operates in a dynamic environment where growth encompasses spiritual, moral, intellectual, and emotional development, beyond mere numerical increase. This study examines effective ecclesiastical leadership strategies for achieving sustainable church growth and efficient administration amid contemporary challenges. Adopting a qualitative research design, data were sourced from secondary materials, including books, journals, and reports from selected Nigerian denominations. Using descriptive and analytical methods, the study identified leadership and administrative patterns that either facilitate or hinder church growth. Anchored in Transformational Leadership Theory and the biblical model of servant leadership, the study highlights the leader's role in inspiring and motivating followers toward shared goals. Findings indicate that while some churches struggle with financial constraints, corruption, leadership rivalry, and weak administration, others thrive through visionary leadership, transparency, and ethical management. The study recommends diversifying income sources, institutionalizing accountability, providing continuous leadership training in ethics, administration, and conflict resolution, and separating pastoral and administrative responsibilities for greater efficiency. The paper concludes that effective 21st-century church leadership requires vision, discipline, and spiritual maturity. When guided by biblical values and supported by sound administrative systems, church leaders can fulfill the divine mission of transforming lives and advancing God's kingdom on earth.

**Keywords:** Ecclesiastical leadership, church growth and administration, leadership strategies

### Introduction

Church administration in the twenty-first century has become a critical component of effective ministry, as the contemporary church now operates within an increasingly complex social, cultural, and technological environment. Unlike earlier periods when church activities were largely confined to worship services and basic pastoral care, the modern church has expanded its scope to include a wide range of social, educational, and welfare-oriented services. Many churches today operate daycare centres, senior citizens' programmes, medical outreach initiatives, youth ministries, sports clubs, vocational training and skill acquisition centres, as well as welfare ministries for widows and other vulnerable groups. These expanding responsibilities require not only spiritual leadership but also experienced administrators and qualified personnel capable of managing human and material resources efficiently for sustainable church growth and effective mission fulfillment (Duewel, 2020).

Church administration, therefore, goes beyond routine organizational tasks; it encompasses the leadership and coordination structures that enable the church to function effectively and remain focused on its divine mission. Although the church is not a secular business institution, it is often required to adopt certain managerial practices in order to remain accountable, organized, and mission-driven. As Khumalo (2025) observes, the church is called to be the hands and feet of Jesus in the world, a calling that demands intentional organization and responsible stewardship. In this sense, church administration may be understood as the totality of activities and programmes designed to implement God's assignment on earth. Heward-Mills (2016) further defines church administration as the systematic arrangement and utilization

of human and material resources for the effective execution of church programmes aimed at building up the church.

Effective administration also promotes accountability and collaboration within the church community. Given human limitations and the complexities of collective ministry, cooperation among clergy and laity is essential. Proper administrative structures help to clarify roles, improve decision-making, and ensure that various departments—such as finance, worship, and pastoral care—operate efficiently. For instance, the financial department manages tithes, offerings, and expenditures; the worship department plans services and rehearsals; while senior leadership provides vision, pastoral oversight, and strategic direction. When administration is well planned and properly coordinated, each department is empowered to function optimally in pursuit of the church's mission (Duewel, 2020).

Despite the importance of administration, many churches in the twenty-first century continue to struggle with leadership inefficiency, role conflict, poor accountability, and inadequate administrative systems. Rapid social change, technological advancement, and cultural shifts have further intensified these challenges, placing new demands on ecclesiastical leaders. In this dynamic context, effective ecclesiastical leadership has emerged as a major determinant of both church growth and sustainable administration. Ecclesiastical leadership refers to the spiritual and administrative guidance provided by church leaders in directing church affairs, ensuring sound governance, and fostering both spiritual and numerical growth. However, the absence of clearly articulated leadership strategies has often resulted in administrative breakdowns and diminished ministerial effectiveness.

The central problem addressed in this study, therefore, is the persistent gap between the expanding responsibilities of the contemporary church and the effectiveness of ecclesiastical leadership strategies employed in managing these responsibilities. While many churches acknowledge the need for growth and relevance in the modern world, insufficient attention is often given to leadership models and administrative frameworks capable of sustaining such growth without compromising spiritual integrity.

In response to this problem, this study seeks to achieve the following objectives:

1. To examine the concept and significance of ecclesiastical leadership in church growth and administration in the 21st century.
2. To identify effective ecclesiastical leadership strategies that enhance sustainable church growth and efficient administration.
3. To analyze the challenges confronting ecclesiastical leadership in contemporary church administration and propose appropriate leadership responses.

By addressing these objectives, the study contributes to ongoing scholarly discourse on church leadership and provides practical insights for church leaders seeking to balance spiritual vision with effective administrative practice in a rapidly changing world.

This research is meant to answer the following research questions:

1. What is the concept of ecclesiastical leadership, and how significant is it to church growth and administration in the 21st century?
2. What ecclesiastical leadership strategies are effective in promoting sustainable church growth and efficient administration in the contemporary church?
3. What challenges confront ecclesiastical leadership in contemporary church administration, and what leadership responses are appropriate for addressing these challenges?

## **Research Methodology and Theoretical Framework**

### **Research Methodology**

This study adopts a qualitative research design, relying primarily on secondary data sources to examine ecclesiastical leadership strategies for church growth and administration in the twenty-first century. A qualitative approach is appropriate because the study seeks to explore concepts, leadership practices, challenges, and responses within ecclesiastical settings rather than measure variables statistically. Qualitative research is particularly suitable for studies in theology and religious studies where meaning, interpretation, values, and lived experiences are central to analysis (Creswell, 2014).

Data for this study were obtained from secondary sources, including scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, theological texts, leadership literature, church administration manuals, and reputable online publications. These sources were selected based on their relevance to ecclesiastical leadership, church growth, administration, and contemporary leadership theories. Secondary data analysis is valid for conceptual and analytical studies because it allows the researcher to engage critically with existing scholarship, identify gaps, and synthesize perspectives across disciplines (Johnston, 2017).

The study employs descriptive and analytical methods of data analysis. The descriptive method is used to explain key concepts such as ecclesiastical leadership, church administration, and contemporary leadership challenges. The analytical method, on the other hand, enables critical engagement with leadership practices and challenges confronting churches, particularly in the Nigerian and broader African context. This combination allows the study to move beyond mere description to interpretation and evaluation of leadership strategies and their implications for church growth and administration.

To ensure academic rigor, the study adopts a thematic approach in organizing and analyzing data. Themes such as financial accountability, moral integrity, leadership style, technological adaptation, and leadership development are examined in relation to ecclesiastical leadership effectiveness. This approach enhances coherence and enables systematic engagement with the research objectives. Although the study does not involve fieldwork or interviews, its reliance on established scholarly literature provides a strong conceptual foundation and ensures validity through triangulation of authoritative sources.

### **Theoretical Framework**

The study is anchored on Transformational Leadership Theory, complemented by the biblical model of servant leadership, as its theoretical framework. Transformational leadership theory, popularized by Burns (1978) and later expanded by Bass (1985), emphasizes vision, inspiration, moral commitment, and the capacity of leaders to motivate followers toward collective goals. This theory is particularly relevant to ecclesiastical leadership because church leadership is fundamentally concerned with transformation—spiritual, moral, and communal.

Transformational leadership focuses on four key elements: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration (Bass & Riggio, 2006). These elements align closely with ecclesiastical leadership responsibilities such as vision casting, discipleship, ethical modeling, and pastoral care. In the context of church administration, transformational leadership encourages transparency, participatory decision-making, and shared responsibility, which are essential for sustainable church growth and effective governance.

In addition, the theory resonates with the biblical understanding of leadership as service. Jesus' teaching that "whoever desires to be great among you, let him be your servant" (Matthew 20:26, NKJV) underscores the ethical and relational dimensions of church leadership. Sanders (1994) argues that spiritual leadership is not based on power or position but on character, service, and influence. Integrating servant leadership with transformational leadership strengthens the theological relevance of the framework and ensures that leadership effectiveness is evaluated not only in terms of organizational success but also spiritual integrity.

The application of this theoretical framework enables the study to analyze how ecclesiastical leaders can inspire commitment, manage change, address administrative challenges, and foster unity in the church. It also provides a lens for evaluating leadership failures such as authoritarianism, moral lapses, and resistance to innovation. By grounding the study in transformational and servant leadership theories, the research offers a balanced framework that integrates biblical values with contemporary leadership insights, making it valid and appropriate for the study of church leadership in the twenty-first century.

### **The Concept and Significance of Ecclesiastical Leadership to Church Growth and Administration in the 21st Century**

Ecclesiastical leadership refers to the exercise of spiritual authority, vision, and stewardship entrusted to leaders within the Christian church for the purpose of guiding God's people toward the fulfillment of the church's divine mission. It is fundamentally distinct from secular leadership because it is rooted in biblical principles, shaped by theological convictions, and oriented toward service rather than personal gain or institutional power (Sanders, 1994). The New Testament presents church leadership as a divinely instituted

function intended to equip believers and build up the Christian community. As stated in Ephesians 4:11–12, church leadership exists “for the equipping of the saints for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ” (Holy Bible, NKJV). This scriptural foundation underscores the spiritual and communal purpose of ecclesiastical leadership.

Beyond its spiritual orientation, ecclesiastical leadership also encompasses administrative responsibility. Heward-Mills (2016) defines church administration as the systematic organization and coordination of human and material resources to achieve the objectives of the church. This definition highlights the fact that effective leadership in the church requires not only spiritual maturity but also organizational competence. Church leaders are expected to provide pastoral oversight while simultaneously ensuring accountability, strategic planning, and efficient management of church resources. Without effective leadership structures, churches are vulnerable to mismanagement, internal conflict, and loss of direction.

The significance of ecclesiastical leadership has become increasingly evident in the twenty-first century due to rapid social, cultural, and technological transformations. The contemporary church now functions in a complex environment characterized by globalization, digital communication, and changing patterns of religious participation. As a result, ecclesiastical leadership must go beyond traditional clerical roles to embrace strategic planning, effective communication, digital engagement, and financial transparency (Northouse, 2021). Leaders are now required to manage online worship platforms, utilize social media for evangelism, and adopt modern administrative systems that promote accountability and trust among church members.

Ecclesiastical leadership is also central to church growth, both spiritually and institutionally. Spiritually, effective leadership fosters discipleship, moral formation, and unity by modeling integrity, humility, and servant leadership in line with Christ's example (Sanders, 1994). Institutionally, leadership influences numerical growth and sustainability by creating structures that support evangelism, member integration, and community outreach. Burns' (1978) theory of transformational leadership is particularly relevant in this context, as it emphasizes vision, inspiration, and collective commitment—qualities essential for mobilizing congregations toward shared spiritual and organizational goals.

Furthermore, the expansion of church ministries in the twenty-first century—such as educational programmes, welfare services, youth development initiatives, and community engagement—has increased the administrative demands placed on church leaders. Duewel (2020) notes that effective church administration ensures accountability and coordination across various departments, thereby enabling the church to function efficiently and remain faithful to its mission. Ecclesiastical leadership thus serves as the bridge between spiritual vision and practical execution.

In summary, ecclesiastical leadership is a multidimensional concept that integrates spiritual authority with administrative competence. Its significance in the twenty-first century lies in its ability to guide the church through contemporary challenges while remaining grounded in biblical values. When exercised responsibly and strategically, ecclesiastical leadership becomes a vital instrument for sustainable church growth, effective administration, and the holistic transformation of both the church and society.

## **Strategies for Effective Ecclesiastical Leadership**

### **Ethical and Moral Integrity**

Ethical and moral integrity constitute the foundational pillar of effective ecclesiastical leadership. Unlike secular organizations, the church derives its moral authority from the perceived holiness and credibility of its leaders. Sanders (1994) argues that spiritual leadership is inseparable from character, as leadership influence in the church flows primarily from moral example rather than positional power. When church leaders consistently demonstrate honesty, humility, and accountability, they cultivate trust within the congregation and reinforce the church's witness in society. Conversely, ethical failures undermine both spiritual authority and institutional credibility, often resulting in membership decline and public skepticism. Therefore, moral integrity is not merely a personal virtue but a strategic leadership asset essential for sustainable church growth.

### **Strategic Planning and Evaluation**

Effective church leadership in the 21st century requires intentional and systematic planning. Strategic planning enables church leaders to articulate clear goals, allocate resources efficiently, and assess progress toward mission fulfillment. Malphurs (2003) emphasizes that churches that grow sustainably do so because

they plan purposefully and evaluate continuously. Regular assessment of programs and ministries allows leaders to identify strengths, address weaknesses, and respond adaptively to changing social and cultural contexts. Without strategic evaluation, church administration becomes reactive rather than proactive, leading to stagnation and inefficiency. Strategic leadership therefore aligns spiritual vision with practical implementation, ensuring long-term effectiveness.

### **Visionary and Transformational Leadership**

Visionary leadership remains a critical determinant of church growth and organizational vitality. Burns (1978) defines transformational leadership as a process through which leaders inspire followers to transcend personal interests for the collective good. In the ecclesiastical context, visionary leaders articulate compelling spiritual and organizational goals that mobilize congregational commitment and foster unity. A clearly communicated vision provides direction, motivates participation, and sustains momentum in ministry. Churches lacking visionary leadership often experience fragmentation and loss of purpose, whereas transformational leaders nurture trust, empower members, and facilitate shared ownership of the church's mission.

### **Financial Transparency and Accountability**

Financial stewardship is an indispensable aspect of effective church administration. Barna (2001) observes that financial transparency significantly influences members' trust and willingness to support church initiatives. Transparent accounting systems, regular financial reporting, and adherence to ethical financial practices reflect biblical principles of stewardship while also meeting contemporary accountability standards. In contexts where financial mismanagement is prevalent, the church's moral authority is compromised, and its mission is obstructed. Effective ecclesiastical leadership therefore integrates spiritual stewardship with modern financial management practices to ensure sustainability and credibility.

### **Embracing Technology and Media**

The digital revolution has reshaped communication, worship, and administration in the contemporary church. Adeboye (2020) notes that technology has become a strategic tool for evangelism, discipleship, and pastoral care. Social media platforms, live streaming services, and digital communication channels expand the church's reach beyond physical boundaries. Additionally, administrative efficiency is enhanced through digital record keeping, online giving systems, and virtual meetings. Churches that resist technological integration risk marginalization in an increasingly digital society. Effective leadership, therefore, requires discernment in leveraging technology without compromising theological integrity.

### **Training and Capacity Building**

Continuous leadership development is essential for equipping church leaders to manage the dual responsibilities of spiritual oversight and organizational administration. Maxwell (2007) emphasizes that leadership capacity determines organizational effectiveness. Theological education, leadership workshops, mentoring, and management training enable ministers and administrators to respond competently to complex ministry demands. Churches that neglect leadership training often struggle with poor governance, conflict, and inefficiency. Intentional investment in human capital thus strengthens institutional resilience and enhances mission effectiveness.

### **Effective Communication and Teamwork**

Effective communication fosters clarity, trust, and cooperation within the church organization. Northouse (2021) highlights that team-based leadership encourages shared responsibility and participatory decision-making. In ecclesiastical settings, collaborative leadership allows diverse gifts and talents to be harnessed for ministry expansion. Poor communication, on the other hand, breeds misunderstanding, conflict, and disengagement. Leaders who cultivate open dialogue and teamwork create inclusive environments that promote unity and organizational effectiveness.

### **Contextual and Inclusive Ministry**

In an era marked by cultural diversity and generational plurality, ecclesiastical leadership must be contextually sensitive and inclusively oriented. Guder (1998) argues that the church's mission is inherently contextual, requiring engagement with contemporary social realities without compromising biblical truth. Inclusive leadership that respects cultural, generational, and social differences fosters unity and relevance.

Failure to contextualize ministry often alienates younger generations and marginalized groups, limiting the church's capacity for holistic growth.

## **Challenges Confronting Ecclesiastical Leadership in Contemporary Church Administration and Appropriate Leadership Responses**

Ecclesiastical leadership in the contemporary church operates within a complex environment shaped by rapid social change, technological advancement, and evolving cultural expectations. While the church remains a spiritual institution, its expanding administrative and social responsibilities have exposed church leadership to numerous challenges that threaten effective ministry and sustainable growth. Among the most pressing challenges confronting ecclesiastical leadership today are financial mismanagement, moral and ethical failure, authoritarian leadership practices, resistance to change, and inadequate leadership development. Addressing these challenges requires intentional, biblically grounded, and contextually responsive leadership strategies.

One major challenge is financial mismanagement, often resulting from inadequate transparency and accountability. Poor financial oversight undermines members' confidence and restricts resources needed for ministerial growth and social outreach. Barna (2001) emphasizes that financial integrity is essential for maintaining trust and organizational credibility. Ecclesiastical leaders must respond by instituting transparent accounting systems, regular financial reporting, and shared financial oversight committees that reflect biblical principles of stewardship (Luke 16:10).

Closely related is the challenge of moral and ethical failure among church leaders. Instances of corruption, abuse of power, and moral lapses have significantly weakened public trust and damaged the church's witness in society. Sanders (1994) argues that spiritual leadership is fundamentally anchored in personal integrity and moral discipline. Appropriate leadership responses include enforcing ethical accountability, promoting servant leadership, and ensuring that leaders model Christlike character in both private and public life.

Another challenge confronting contemporary church administration is the prevalence of authoritarian leadership styles. Over-centralization of authority and limited participatory decision-making often discourage member involvement and suppress creativity. Burns' (1978) theory of transformational leadership highlights the importance of shared vision and collective engagement. Ecclesiastical leaders must therefore adopt participatory and team-based leadership approaches that empower members and foster a sense of shared responsibility.

Poor communication and ineffective conflict management further undermine church unity and productivity. Unresolved conflicts and unclear communication structures often lead to divisions and mistrust within congregations. Northouse (2021) stresses that effective leadership depends on open communication, emotional intelligence, and conflict-resolution skills. Church leaders should prioritize dialogue, mediation mechanisms, and training in interpersonal communication to sustain healthy relationships.

Resistance to change and technological backwardness also present significant challenges. Some leaders and congregations remain reluctant to adopt digital tools and modern administrative systems, limiting the church's relevance in a technologically driven society. According to Duewel (2020), embracing innovation while maintaining theological integrity is essential for effective contemporary ministry. Leadership responses should include digital literacy training, gradual technological integration, and contextual innovation in worship and administration.

Furthermore, lack of visionary leadership and poor leadership training weaken the church's ability to respond to contemporary societal needs. Without clear vision and adequate formation, leaders struggle to balance spiritual and administrative responsibilities. Maxwell (2007) emphasizes that vision and continuous leadership development are critical for organizational growth. Investment in theological education, mentoring, and leadership development programmes is therefore indispensable.

In summary, ecclesiastical leadership in the twenty-first century faces multifaceted challenges that demand thoughtful and intentional responses. By promoting ethical integrity, participatory leadership, transparent administration, continuous training, and technological adaptability, church leaders can effectively navigate contemporary challenges and sustain the church's spiritual mission and administrative effectiveness.

## **Findings of the Study**

The findings of this study reveal that effective ecclesiastical leadership remains a decisive factor in achieving sustainable church growth and efficient administration in the twenty-first century. Drawing from the qualitative analysis of relevant theological and leadership literature, the study establishes that churches that experience sustained spiritual vitality and organizational stability are largely guided by leadership models that integrate spiritual integrity with administrative competence. This finding aligns with the assumptions of Transformational Leadership Theory, which emphasizes vision, inspiration, and moral influence as essential leadership attributes (Burns, 1978; Bass & Riggio, 2006).

One major finding is that vision-driven leadership significantly enhances church growth and administrative effectiveness. Ecclesiastical leaders who articulate a clear, compelling vision are better able to mobilize members toward shared spiritual and organizational goals. Such leaders demonstrate what transformational leadership describes as inspirational motivation, fostering unity and collective commitment within the church. In contrast, churches lacking visionary leadership often experience stagnation, internal conflict, and administrative inefficiency.

The study further finds that ethical integrity and servant leadership are foundational to effective church administration. Moral failures and financial mismanagement have emerged as major threats to ecclesiastical credibility and public trust. Where leaders model accountability, transparency, and selfless service, congregational confidence increases, and participation in ministry is strengthened. This outcome reflects the biblical servant leadership model, which prioritizes service, humility, and moral responsibility (Sanders, 1994). Leaders who combine spiritual discipline with ethical governance create environments conducive to trust and sustainable growth.

Another important finding concerns the role of participatory leadership and teamwork. Churches that adopt inclusive leadership structures—characterized by shared decision-making and delegation—are more effective in managing diverse ministries and administrative responsibilities. This approach corresponds with the individualized consideration and intellectual stimulation components of transformational leadership, which encourage creativity, shared ownership, and leadership development among members (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Conversely, authoritarian leadership styles were found to suppress initiative, discourage involvement, and generate resistance among church members.

The study also reveals that adaptation to technological and cultural change is increasingly critical to ecclesiastical leadership effectiveness. Churches that strategically integrate digital tools for communication, record-keeping, and outreach demonstrate greater relevance and engagement, particularly among younger generations. Resistance to innovation and technological backwardness, however, limits administrative efficiency and reduces outreach capacity. Transformational leaders who embrace change while maintaining theological fidelity are better positioned to guide churches through contemporary challenges.

Finally, the findings indicate that continuous leadership training and development are essential for sustaining effective church administration. Inadequate theological education and leadership formation contribute to poor conflict management, weak communication, and administrative incompetence. Churches that invest in leadership mentoring, training programmes, and ethical formation are more capable of balancing spiritual oversight with organizational demands.

## **Conclusion**

This study concludes that ecclesiastical leadership in the twenty-first century must be both spiritually grounded and administratively competent to effectively address the complexities of contemporary church life. The integration of Transformational Leadership Theory and the biblical servant leadership model provides a robust framework for understanding how church leaders can inspire spiritual growth, ensure accountability, and manage organizational challenges. Leadership that is visionary, ethical, participatory, and adaptive emerges as a critical determinant of sustainable church growth and effective administration.

The findings underscore that churches thrive when leadership transcends positional authority and embraces service, moral integrity, and shared responsibility. By aligning biblical values with contemporary leadership practices, ecclesiastical leaders can foster unity, enhance administrative efficiency, and strengthen the church's witness in society. Ultimately, effective ecclesiastical leadership remains indispensable to fulfilling the church's divine mandate of transforming lives and advancing God's kingdom in a rapidly changing world.

## References

Adeboye, E. A. (2020). *The effective pastor in a digital age*. Redeemed Christian Church of God Publications.

Barna, G. (2001). *The power of team leadership: Achieving success through shared responsibility*. WaterBrook Press.

Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Duewel, L. W. (2020). *Administration of the church*. <https://justdisciple.com/administration-church/>

Guder, D. L. (1998). *Missional church: A vision for the sending of the church in North America*. Eerdmans Publishing.

Heward-Mills, D. (2016). *Church Administration*. Parchment House

Johnston, M. P. (2017). Secondary data analysis: A method of which the time has come. *Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Libraries*, 3(3), 619–626.

Khumalo, M. (2025). “Leadership insights: Analysing the church leaders' role in managing God's work using Biblical and theological framework”. *Klabat Theological Review*. 5. 61-72.

Malphurs, A. (2003). *Being leaders: The nature of authentic Christian leadership*. Baker Books.

Maxwell, J. C. (2007). *The 21 irrefutable laws of leadership: Follow them and people will follow you*. Thomas Nelson.

Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). Sage Publications.

Ogunode, N. J. (2018). Understanding church development in Nigeria. JSA (4), 12-19.

Ola, F. (2018). Church growth and development in Nigeria. Pasca publication company. Lagos Mark, S. (2017). Christians in Nigeria. Light Publication, Abuja.

Project solution (2020). Administrative management in church setting. <https://projectsolutionz.com.ng/administrative-management-in-church-setting-a-case-study-of-assemblies-of-god-church-nigeria/>

Sanders, J. O. (1994). *Spiritual leadership: Principles of excellence for every believer*. Moody Press.

The Holy Bible, New King James Version. (1982). Thomas Nelson Publishers.